

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/822,603 | 03/30/2001 | Guojun Zhou | 42390P10779 | 42390P10779 1244 | |
| 8791 | 7590 09/07/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD | | | SHANG, A | SHANG, ANNAN Q | |
| SEVENTH FI | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| LOS ANGEL | ES, CA 90025-1030 | • . | 2617 | | |
| | | | DATE MAN ED. 00/07/200 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/822,603 | ZHOU, GUOJUN | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Annan Q. Shang | 2617 | | |

| | Allilair Q. Shariy | 2017 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f. will not be entered | because | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | ow); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | eiected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s |): | • | , | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | and the form of the state of first | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a find sufficient reasons why the affidation | Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i | <u>not</u> be entered is necessary | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after o | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | (| CHRIS KELLEY | 4 | | | | |
| | | CHKIO VELLEI | | | | | |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to all the independent claims, such as "transmitting from the broker to the customer the custom channel including the at least one television program, the custom channel created by the customer." raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.